Matrix of Local Development Indicators

To monitor and assess the state of development in Naga City, the city government uses a combination of development indicators relevant to its needs. These indicators include the following:

- the United Nation's Millennium Development Goals (MDGs)
- the World Health Organization's Urban Health Equity Assessment and Response Tool (Urban HEART)
- the Institute for Solidarity in Asia's Public Governance System (PGS), and
- the Department of the Interior and Local Government's Local Governance Performance Management System (LGPMS).

This chapter presents these indicators, which were presented to, debated and validated by the participating stakeholders during the series of sectoral planning workshops conducted in August and September 2010. They are summarized under Table 9.

Table 9
MATRIX OF LOCAL DEVELOPMENT INDICATORS, NAGA CITY

| DEMOGRAPHIC | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Source | Indicator | Naga City | National/Regional Average | Local Trend | Remarks | |
| National Statistics Office | Population growth | Between 2.28 to 2.91% annually | 1.90% (Philippines), 1.46% (Bicol) | Twice as fast as Bicol's growth | Naga is the fastest growing city in the Bicol Region Took 32 years to double 1990 population of 90,712 At current pace, it will take only 25 years to double 2010 population of 174,931 | |
| Millennium Development | Poverty incidence | Between 19-23% (official) and 61% | 26.9% (Philippines), 40.5% (Bicol) | Lower than both regional and | Needs more regular measurement at city, barangay levels | |
| Goals | | (self-rated) | | national levels | | |

| SOCIAL | SOCIAL | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Source | Indicator | Naga City | National/Regional Average | Local Trend | Remarks | | |
| • Education | | | | | | | |
| State of Children Report | Preschool participation rate | 67% as of 2009 | 60% (Philippines, 2002) | Higher than national level | Needs stronger collaboration between DepEd, city government to attain universal coverage | | |
| Millennium Development Goals | Elementary participation rate | Between 106-130% from 2007-09 | 84.4% (Philippines), 85.1% (Bicol) | Consistently exceeds target | Needs better data. Non-city resident enrolment in public schools can mask access problems for some Nagueño children | | |
| | Elementary survival rate | Between 70-78% from 2007-09 | 69.9% (Philippines), 67% (Bicol) | Better than regional and national levels | Some improvements have been made over last few years, but city remains off from 2015 target | | |
| State of Children Report | Mean percentage score in Regional, National Achievement Test (RUAT, NAT) | From 2005-09: Between 50-55% for elementary, 45-49% for high school in NAT Between 59.5-73% for elementary, 48-52% for high school in RUAT | From 2005-09: Between 51-62% for elementary, 42.5-43% for high school in NAT (Bicol Region) Between 59-64% for elementary, 42- 46% for high school in RUAT | Deteriorating performance for elementary in NAT, secondary in RUAT | Erratic performance has plateaued in recent years City still off from 75% minimum MPS to be considered passing | | |

| Health | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Millennium Development Goals | Under 5 mortality rate | From 5.55 (2007) to 2.33 per 1,000 live births (2009) | 32.0 (Philippines), 6.1 (Bicol) | Significantly lower than both regional and national levels | Has already met 2015 target |
| | Infant mortality rate | Increased from 0.30 (2007) to 1.91 per 1,000 live births (2009) | 24.0 (Philippines), 9.3 (Bicol) | Substantially lower than both regional and national levels | Has already met 2015 target, in spite of slippages |
| | Maternal mortality ratio | Increased from 0.30 (2007) to 0.78 per 100,000 live births (2009) | 162.0 (Philippines), 1.2 (Bicol) | Substantially lower than national, marginally lower than regional levels | Has already met 2015 target, in spite of slippages |
| | Fully immunized children | Increased from 85% (2007) to 90% (2009) | 83% (Philippines), 87% (Bicol) | Marginally higher than both regional and national levels | Continues to recover lost ground towards full immunization achieved in previous years |
| MDG, State of Children Report | Preschool malnutrition | Between 4-5% from 2007-09 | 24.6% (Philippines), 22.6% (Bicol) | Significantly lower than both regional and national levels | |
| State of Children Report | Grade school malnutrition | Reduced from 19% (2005) to 11% (2009) | No data available | | Needs sustained implementation of "Nutri Dunong" program |
| Urban HEART | Incidence of road traffic injuries | 17 | 8.3 (Philippines) | Significantly higher than national level | |
| | Social health insurance enrolment | 13% | 79% | Substantially lower than national level | Does not take into account city's own social insurance scheme anchored on the Naga City Hospital |
| Housing | | | | | |
| MDG, Public Governance Scorecard (PGS) | Proportion of urban poor households enjoying secure tenure | 63% | No data available | | |
| City Profile | Proportion of KSK beneficiaries to total city household population | 25.8% | Not applicable | Higher than 20% ratio when program was launched in 1989 | Unmanaged program expansion and weak collection efficiency, coupled with high population growth, will add pressure to program sustainability |
| Protective | | | | | |
| City Profile | Police-to-population ratio | 1:758 (2010) | 1:737 (Philippines, 2008), 1:970 (Bicol, 2006) | A little worse than national, but better than the regional level | Does not take into account contribution of the 76-man strong city-funded PSO Still off from ideal 1:500 ratio |
| | Fireman-to- | 1:3,307 (2010) | 1:5,214 (Bicol, 2006) | | Does not take into account |

| population ratio | | contribution of Chin Po Tong and |
|------------------|--|--|
| | | Naga While volunteers |
| | | Still off from ideal 1:2,000 ratio |

ECONOMIC

| Source | Indicator | Naga City | National/Regional Average | Local Trend | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| LGPMS | Unemployment rate | 5.8% | 7.5% (Philippines), 5.4% (Bicol) | Marginally higher than regional, lower than and national levels | Unemployment is relatively low |
| | Underemployment rate | 5-15% | 18.1% (Philippines) | Underemployment exists, but situation is not yet alarming | That 40% of business establishments are in the informal sector can worsen the situation |
| | Poverty incidence for LGUs outside Metro Manila | Between 19-23% | 26.9% (Philippines) | Poverty incidence is relatively low compared to the national benchmark of 26-35% | Needs more regular measurement at city, barangay levels |
| | Income per capita | P36,000-P50,000 annually | P34,600 (Philippines) | Income per capita is marginally higher than the national average | |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Source | Indicator | Naga City | National/Regional Average | Local Trend | Remarks |
|--------|--|-----------|--|--|---|
| LGPMS | Percentage of households with electricity for LGUs outside Metro Manila | 94-97% | 92.1% for urban areas (Philippines) | Local situation is better than the national average for urban areas | |
| | Percentage of households with sanitary toilets for LGUs outside Metro Manila | 91-96% | 75% (Philippines, Urban HEART data) | Local situation is significantly higher than the national average | Water pollution due to domestic wastes and its effects on public health is somewhat less threatening |
| | Percentage of households with access to piped-in water | 91-95% | 83% (Philippines, Urban HEART data) | Local situation is better than the national average | Many households have access to piped-in water supply. Easy access to this service is available to more than 90% of the population.` |

ENVIRONMENT

| Source | Indicator | Naga City | National/Regional Average | Local Trend | Remarks |
|---------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| Solid Waste | • | | | • | |
| PGS | Proportion of city's residential areas whose garbage is collected at least four times a week | 85% | No data available | City roadmap targets raising it to 99% by 2015 | Garbage collection and disposal is a big financial burden to the city government, which is spending around P38 million (roughly 8% of its annual budget) in providing the service. Cost recovery is only 5%. |
| Air Quality | | | | | |
| City Profile | Ambient air quality reading | "Fair" - 96 microgram per cubic meter (μg/m³) in 2009 | No data available | City roadmap targets raising it to "Good" by 2015 | In 2008, the city already achieved "Good" rating after achieving 80 µg/m³ reading |
| LGPMS | Percentage of polluting industries | All industries have pollution control facilities | No data available | Better air quality in urban areas is expected | |
| | Absence of smog, odor and noise in urban areas | Smog, odor and loud and uncomfortable noise level are absent. | No data available | Better air quality in urban areas is expected | From time to time, foul odor coming from the Balatas Controlled Dumpsite has become an issue due to capacity problems |
| Water Qualit | ty | | | • | |
| PGS | Average water quality rating of Naga River | Class C | No data available | City roadmap targets raising it to "B+" by 2015 | |
| LGPMS | Freshwater quality | Water is turbid (light brown to brown color) with some floating wastes | No data available | Freshwater quality is poor | Water should be clear, without water lilies and/or floating wastes |
| | Fish catch in river or lake | Fish catch in river or lake remains the same | No data available | Average freshwater productivity | Should be stable or increasing |
| | Presence of polluting industries in riverside or lakeside | 1 or more industries have no pollution control facilities | No data available | | All industries should have pollution control facilities |
| | Extent of riverside or lakeside squatters | 6-20 houses per km stretch on riverside or lakeside | No data available | The probability of pollution load on freshwater areas is | Should not be more than 20 |

| HHs. |
|------|
|------|

| Source | Indicator | Naga City | National/Regional Average | Local Trend | Remarks |
|-----------|---|----------------|---|---|---|
| Revenue G | eneration | | - | | |
| LGPMS | Cost to collect revenues | 5.44% | 17.51% (2 nd class cities), 28.58% (all Philippine cities) | Exceptional performance as city exceeds both average of 2 nd class and all Philippine cities | Should be lower than the average of LGUs of the same type and income |
| | Locally-sourced revenues to total income | 50% | 31.30% (2 nd class cities), 33.70% (all Philippine cities) | Exceptional performance as city exceeds both average of 2 nd class and all Philippine cities | Should be higher than the average of LGUs of the same type and income |
| | Locally-sourced revenue level | P216.3 million | P131 million (2 nd class cities), P454.7 million (all Philippine cities) | Desired performance as city exceeds average of 2 nd class cities, but is lower than average of all Philippine cities | Should be higher than the average of LGUs of the same type and income |
| | Real Property Tax (RPT) accomplishment rate | 101.07% | 96.13% (2 nd class cities), 90.61% (all Philippine cities) | Exceptional performance as city exceeds both average of 2 nd class and all Philippine cities | Targeting needs improvement. The annual City Treasury target used as base is significantly lower than the actual potential collectible using City Assessor's data |
| Revenue G | ieneration | | | | |
| LGPMS | Personal services expenditure ratio | 43% (adjusted) | 48.79% (2 nd class cities), 37.80% (all Philippine cities) | Desired performance as city is better than average of 2 nd class cities, but is worse than average of all | Should not exceed the ceiling as mandated by law, i.e., for 1st-3rd class LGUs – 45% |

| | | | | Philippine cities | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--|--|
| | Debt service ratio | 2.72% | No data available | Substantially lower than 20% debt ceiling | Should not exceed 20% of the regular income. This is the debt servicing limitation set for under Local Government Code of 1991 |
| Financial Manage | gement | | | | |
| Standard and | Credit rating | B+ | BB- | Pilot | Highest among eight cities initially |
| Poor's Credit and FMA Analysis | Financial management assessment | Intermediate | No data available | implementation of a World Bank technical assistance project | rated by the international rating agency |